Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Surinder Singh,

S/o Harbans Singh, # 81, Phase-1, Urban Estate Dugri, District Ludhiana – 141 013

Versus

#### **Public Information Officer**,

o/o Estate Officer, Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ferozepur Road, District Ludhiana.

#### First Appellant Authority,

o/o Estate Officer, Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ferozepur Road, District Ludhiana.

### Appeal Case No. 2279 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Surinder Singh (Appellant) 95017-00081 Gagandeep Singh, PIO-cum-ATP (Respondent) Manpreet Singh, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 98141-70285

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **24.12.2020**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **26.2.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding certified copies of completion/occupation certificates issued to the persons before issue of NOCs</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **29.6.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **24.8.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **24.12.2020**.
- 2. Both parties are present through Video Conference Facility at the Deputy Commissioner's Office in Ludhiana. As directed at the last hearing, the appellant listed 20 specific properties from the list of 79 attached with the RTI application and the PIO has supplied copies of OC (Occupation Certificates) pertaining to these properties. These were sent to the appellant vide Letter No.749 dated 23.2.2021. A perusal of the case file shows that the PIO first sent a reply to the appellant within the stipulated 30 days on 16.3.2020, even though the reply was not to the satisfaction of the appellant. This Commission is of the view that the first appeal filed by the appellant as well as the second appeal have served the primary purpose of the RTI Act 2005 in providing the requested information to the appellant. The respondent PIO is advised that he must be more mindful in responding to the requests made under the RTI Act in future.
- 3. This Commission sees no further cause of action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 25.2.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

**Balvinder Singh,** S/o Kehar Singh, VPO Ramgarh Sardaran, Tehsil Payal, District Ludhiana.

Versus

## **Public Information Officer,**

o/o Sub Divisional Officer,
Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL),
Malaudh, District Ludhiana.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Chief Engineer (Headquarters), Central Zone,
Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL),
District Ludhiana.

## Appeal Case No. 2235 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 24.12.2020)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **5.8.2019** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding a motor connection bearing Account No. APSH-578</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **7.1.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **20.8.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **24.12.2020**.
- 2. Both parties are absent. However, as directed by this Commission at the last hearing on 24.12.2020, the respondent PIO has submitted a reply as under:-
- "The information requested by the appellant has been sent vide memo no. 111 dated: 24.2.2020 and memo no. 157 dated: 12.3.2020. However, the information requested at point no. 2 of the RTI application is in fact relates to (a) 3<sup>rd</sup> party and (the) 3<sup>rd</sup> party has requested not to disclose this information, so the same cannot be provided. The same decision was taken on the earlier appeal filed by the appellant that the information cannot be provided being a 3<sup>rd</sup> party information. A copy of the said decision is enclosed herewith. Further it is also expressible that the said information is approximately 30 years old and after a lot of efforts made, the file is not traceable and regarding this the office has given a report in police station Malaudh vide memo no. 766 dated: 17.11.2020."
- 3. In view of the respondent PIO's reply and the letters accompanying it, this Commission sees no purpose in pursuing this case any further. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith, **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner Chandigarh 25.2.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

**Trilochan Singh,**# 848-A, MIG Flats,
Jamalpur Colony,
District Ludhiana – 141 010

Versus

#### **Public Information Officer.**

o/o Sub Divisional Engineer, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL), Focal Point, District Ludhiana.

### First Appellate Authority,

o/o Chief Engineer, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL), District Ludhiana.

#### Appeal Case No. 2435 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent
Manik Bhanot, AEE Commercial (for the Respondent) 96461-11607

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 24.12.2020)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **1.5.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding</u> <u>meters under the SC Quota</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **1.6.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **3.9.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **24.12.2020**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by Manik Bhanot, AEE Commercial, present through Video Conference Facility at Deputy Commissioner's Office Ludhiana, stated that the information was handed over to the appellant at the last hearing on 24.12.2020, when he was also given an opportunity to examine the same. While there is no communication from the appellant, this Commission directs the respondent PIO to explain in writing why there was a delay of 7 months in addressing this RTI application. This must be done within the next 15 days.
- 3. There is no other cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 25.2.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

**Trilochan Singh,**# 848-A, MIG Flats,
Jamalpur Colony,
District Ludhiana – 141 010

Versus

#### **Public Information Officer.**

o/o Sub Divisional Engineer,
Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL),
Focal Point, District Ludhiana.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Chief Engineer, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL),
District Ludhiana.

### Appeal Case No. 2436 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Manik Bhanot, AEE Commercial (for the Respondent) 96461-11607

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **24.12.2020**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **10.5.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding colonies in village Bholapur Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana,</u> as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **21.6.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **3.9.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **24.12.2020**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by Manik Bhanot, AEE Commercial, present through Video Conference Facility at Deputy Commissioner's Office Ludhiana, submitted that the remaining information (Point 6 of the RTI application) was sent to the appellant with a copy to this Commission after the last hearing on 24.12.2020. There is meanwhile no communication from the appellant, compelling this Commission to conclude that the appellant is satisfied with the information furnished to him.
- 3. The respondent PIO is meanwhile directed to explain the Seven-month delay in addressing this RTI information. This must be done within next 15 days.
- 4. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 25.2.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Amrik Singh, Block J/26/100 BRS Nagar, Ludhiana – 141 012

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Town Planner, PUDA Office, Ferozepur Road, Near Hotel Hayat, District Ludhiana.

## Complaint Case No. 679 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent Gurmeet Singh, Assistant Town Planner (for the Respondent) 81465-00303

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **24.12.2020**)

- 1. The complainant, Amrik Singh, filed this RTI application dated 27.6.2020 and sought information regarding encroachments / violations on Ferozepur Road, between Ludhiana and Jagraon, from the PIO o/o STP, PUDA Office, Ludhiana. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on 18.9.2020. The case was last heard on 24.12.2020.
- 2. The complainant is absent. The respondent PIO, represented by Gurmeet Singh Brar, ATP, present through Video Conference Facility at the Deputy Commissioner's Office in Ludhiana, submitted that a point-wise reply was sent to the complainant as well as this Commission vide Letter No. 166 dated 18.1.2021. The respondent also clarified that the Senior Town Planner does not have powers to take any action against encroachments / encroachers. A detailed compilation of reports received from subordinate offices, with regard to encroachment cited in this RTI application, have been submitted to this Commission. A copy of information has also been sent to the complainant.
- 3. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action and this complaint case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 25.2.2021